39

BEFORE THE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, RAIPUR BENCH

O.A. NO. 1400/2001

APPLICANTS ... 1. Birbal Kuldeep S/o Shri Pilau Ram, Aged about 34 years, Govt. Girls Higher Secondary School Sambalpur, Block-Bhanupratap pur, Distt.Kanker.

2. Man Bahal Singh Kunjam S/o Shri Dildar Singh, Aged about 38 years, Primary School Jalinkasa, Block-Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

3. Mahendra Kumar Tandiya S/o Late Bachchu Ram, Aged about 37 years, Govt. Girls H.S. School Sambalpur, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt.Kanker.

4. Johar Ram Ganwar S/o Shri Hari Ram, Aged about 35 years, Govt. Girls H.S. School Sambalpur, Block - Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker

5. Sanjay Kumar Chaturvedi S/o Shri Hariwansh, Aged about 34 years, Govt. H.S. School Bhanupratappur, Block-Bhanupratappur, Distt. Kanker.

6. Mahesh Kumar SoniS/o Shri Kishori Lal, Aged about 34 years, Primary School Ranwahi, Block Bhanupratappur Distt. Kanker.

7. Prakash Das S/o Shri Prem Das, Aged about 33 years, Middle School Kulhadkatta, Block Bhanupratappur, Distt. Kanker.

8. Smt. Pramila Kuldeep W/o Shri Birbal Kuldeep, Aged about 32 yrs., Girls H.S. School Sambalpur, Block Bhanupratappur, Distt.Kanker.

9. Shyam Lal Kaparde S/o Shri Chinta Ram, Aged about 37 years, Primary School Pichchekatta, Block-Bhanupratappur, Distt. Kanker.

10. Dinesh Kumar Goswami S/o Late Muni Ram, Aged about 35 years, Primary School Barbaspur, Block-Bhanupratappur, Distt. Kanker.

11. Ramesh Kumar Netam S/o Shri Anjor Singh, Aged about 33 yrs., Primary School Unchpani, Block-Bhanupratappur, Distt. Kanker.

12. Ram Dev Mandavi S/o Shri Bal Singh Aged about 32 yrs., Primary School Narsingpur, Block Bhanu-pratappur, Distt. Kanker.

contd..2

13. Smt. Rajwanti Uike W/o Shri G.S. Uike, Aged about 40 years, Primary School Ramwahi, Block Bhanupratappur, Distt. Kanker.

14. Atma Ram Salam S/o Shri Andaru Ram, Aged about 33 years, Primary School Puttarwahi, Block Bhanupratappur, Distt. Kanker.

15. Banwar Ram Dhruw S/o Shri Beldar Dhruw, Aged about 35 years, Primary School Kudal, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

16. Mansu Ram Pujari S/o Shri Firat Ram, Aged about 37 years, Primary School Bheja, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

17. Bhuneshwar Singh Gadpale S/o Shri Babu Lal, Aged about 41 years, Kanishth Primary School Awaspara Salhe, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

18. Pradeep Kumar Kowachi S/o Late Rajau Ram, Aged about 35 years, Middle School Kulhadkatta, Block-Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

19. Khorbaharu Ram Tandiya S/o Shri Ladu Ram, Aged about 45 years, Primary School Kokade, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

20. Prakash Kumar Goswami S/o Shri Santuk Das, Aged about 33 years, Middle School Bansla, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

21. Deendayal Usare S/o Shri Shobha Ram, Aged about 34 years, Middle School Bhainsakanhar, Block Bhanupratappur, Distt. Kanker.

22. Virendra Kumar Markam S/o Shri Suman Ram, Aged about 38 years, Primary School Vyapari Para, Baijanpuri, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

23. Smt. Jagwantin Thakur W/o Shri G.S. Thakur, Aged about 36 years, Primary School Kurri, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

24. Panku Ram Thakur S/o Late Bisru Ram, Aged about 36 years, Primary School Godaripara, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

contd...3

25. Ishwar Ram Shori S/o Shri Som Nath, Aged about 37 years, Primary School Bayanar, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

26. Kuber Singh Goyal S/o Shri Som Nath Aged about 36 years, Balak Ashram Jadekurse, Block Durgukondal, Distt. Kanker.

27. Harendra Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Hira Ram, Aged about 35 years, Primary School Jharripara, Block Durgukondal, Distt. Kakner.

28. Govardhan Thakur S/o Shri Tulsi Ram, Aged about 38 years, Primary School Mokha, Block Durgukondal, Distt. Kanker.

29. Bhuneshwar Ram Sahu S/o Shri Maya Ram, aged about 34 years, Primary School Bhirawahi, Block Durgukondal Distt. Kanker.

30. Krit Ram Kosma S/o Shri Ram Sata, Aged about 33 years, Primary School Kodakhurri, Block Durgukondal, Distt. Kanker.

31. Madhu Ram Netam, S/o Shri Devman Singh, Aged about 35 years, Balak Hostel (Govt. H.S.) Damkasa, Block-Durgukondal, Distt. Kanker.

32. Dinesh Kumar Mandavi S/o Shri Ram Sevak, Aged about 37 years, Primary School Nedgaon, Block - Durgukondal, Distt. Kanker.

33. Ashok Kumar Jain s/o Late Rai Singh, Aged about 34 years, Primary School Radwahi, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

34. Ram Charan Suryawanshi S/o Shri Sarju Ram, Aged about 35 years, Primary School Gotapara, Block - Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

35. Jay Ram Watti S/o Shri Chamru Ram, Aged about 37 years, Primary School Kinari, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

36. Tosak Ram Nevendra S/o Shri Tej Ram, Aged about 34 years, ~~Primary~~ Middle School Bhodiya, Block Bhanupratap pur, Distt. Kanker.

(4)

VERSUS

1. STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH, Through the Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department, Bhopal (M.P.)

2. THE STATE OF CHHATTISGARH, Through the Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department, Raipur (C.G.)

3. THE COMMISSIONER, Tribal Welfare Department, Bhopal (M.P.)

4. THE COMMISSIONER, Tribal Welfare Department, Raipur (C.G.)

- NON-APPLICANTS

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1985

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
Writ Petition(s) No. 4415 of 2005

Petitioners Birbal Kuldeep & others

Versus

Respondents State of Madhya Pradesh & others

**SB**: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

---

Shri P.P. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioners.
Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

---

ORAL ORDER

(1st August, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the facts of the present case are identical to the facts involved in the W.P. No. 2471 of 2006 (Jaduram Nag and others Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others), which has been disposed of by this Court on 10.5.2006 with following direction :-

> "Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and having regard to the order dated 13.5.2005 (Annexure P/8) passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department, Jagdalpur and also the order of State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, I am of the considered opinion that respondent No. 4 should decide the representation made by the petitioners within a period of three months from today and if the representation of the petitioners is not available with him, then the petitioners can make a fresh representation to respondent No. 4. Ordered accordingly.
>
> With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of."

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not dispute the facts, as stated by learned counsel for the petitioners. Copy of the order dated 10.5.2006 is taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this petition may be disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in the

above-stated writ petition, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents has no objection.

4. In view of submissions made by learned counsel for the parties aforementioned, this writ petition stands disposed of in the same terms and conditions and directions passed in W.P. No. 2471 of 2006 vide judgment and order dated 10.5.2006.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Rolu